

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/067,442		02/04/2002	Todd M. Lynton	8528	8528 7358	
20349	7590	11/01/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
POLAROI PATENT D				FISHER, M	IICHAEL J	
1265 MAIN		CIN I		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WALTHAM	1, MA 0	2451		3629		

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/067,442	LYNTON, TODD M.	ON, TODD M.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Michael J. Fisher	3629	. ,	
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ition appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	j	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ication. tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO I, by statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b 3)□ Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice) This action is non-final. r allowance except for formal mat		s	
Disposition of Claims				
4)	withdrawn from consideration. 42-49,51,53-58 and 60-72 is/are on and/or election requirement. Examiner.	rejected.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the second or declaration is objected to be	on to the drawing(s) be held in abeya be correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in A the priority documents have been all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date)-948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 		

Application/Control Number: 10/067,442

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-8,10,12-19,21,23-28,30-38,42-49,51,53-58 and 60-72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US PAT 6,832,526 to Howard et al. (Howard).

As to claims 1,14,25,31, Howard discloses a computer method for registering a device (title), the device transmitting a registration request message (col 4, lines 22-23), to a registration server (24) in response to input provided by a user of the device (attaching the device to the system and turning it on), registering the device (abstract, lines 1-4). Howard does not, however, teach registering the device with the manufacturer. Howard does, however, teach verifying the warranty (col 7, lines 36-40).

Application/Control Number: 10/067,442

Art Unit: 3629

As its status is checked, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a mechanism for registering the warranty in case it has not been registered yet.

As to claim 61, Howard does not specify that the registering be done at the registration server. It would have been obvious to use a registration server so as to have one server doing the registering to avoid confusion.

As to claims 2,3,26,27,32,33,56,57,62,63, Howard does not, however, teach the device being registered in response to only one action by the user, that action being pressing a button. So called Plug-n-Play devices are very well known in the art.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the device a Plug-n-Play device, and inherently require only the single action of pressing the 'on' button, as these devices are readily available and this would automate installation and make it easier.

As to claim 44, Howard does not teach the message as identifying the user.

As to claims 4,15,35,45,64, Howard discloses obtaining an identifier of the device (fig 3), registering the device based on the identifier (col 4, lines 62-67).

As to claims 5,16,46,65, the request message includes the identifier of the device (fig 3), the identifier is obtained from the request message (col 4, lines 22-23).

As to claims 6,17,47,66, Howard discloses transmitting the assigned identifier to the device (the driver 26 and configuration settings component 27).

As to claims 7,18,37,48,67, Howard discloses determining if the device is registered and registering it only if it has not already been registered (515).

Application/Control Number: 10/067,442

Art Unit: 3629

As to claims 8,19,38,49,58,68, the message is inherently a request that the device be registered (installed).

As to claims 10,21,51,69 the registry records the identifier (col 7, line 63- col 8, line 4).

As to claims 12,23,42,53,55, Howard discloses transmitting a registration confirmation message (105).

As to claims 13,24,30,43,54,60, the device is a printer (fig 7B).

As to claim 28, the message would inherently request initiation of registering the device (installing the device is registering it).

As to claim 36, the request message includes the identifier of the device (fig 3), the identifier is obtained from the request message (col 4, lines 22-23), the device would inherently record the identifier.

As to claim 70, Howard discloses creating an account associated with the identifier (fig 4A).

As to claim 41, the account would be that the device is installed and registered and would inherently be associated with the identifier as it is associated with the device identified by the identifier.

As to claim 72, the service is printing, this would inherently include a printer.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7/25/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 10/067,442 Page 5

Art Unit: 3629

The examiner's position as regards registering the warranty stands, as the prior art discloses checking to see if the warranty is registered, it would appear unobvious to not register it if it had not already been done. Further, the arguments contain a contradiction in that registering a warranty requires user's information in the prior art while it does not do so in the instant application. Further, In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., not sending in personal information while registering the product) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). The claims state that the input that starts the process does not include personal information, it does not claim that the actual registration does not as there is a response after the input that does not contain personal information, which feature, as discussed in the rejection is contained in the prior art.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 3629

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J. Fisher whose telephone number is 571-272-6804. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 7:30am-5:00pm alt Fri. off.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/067,442 Page 7

Art Unit: 3629

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MF **W** 5/15/06 JOHN G. WEISS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

mel